

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

		•		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,420	04/16/2004	Kyungyoon Min	F-6097 (0360-0146)	9851
	7590 05/31/200 LTHCARE CORPOR	EXAMINER		
ONE BAXTER			DEAK, LESLIE R	
DF2-2E DEERFIELD, 1	IL 60015		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/826,420	MIN ET AL.	MIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Leslie R. Deak	3761		

	Leslie R. Deak	3761							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	HE REPLY FILED <u>23 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  IOTICE OF APPEAL									
	bliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of						
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ACQUEA						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	•		ecause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo									
	eemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).								
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an o	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).									
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.						
11. 🗵 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
TATYANA ZALUKAI	EVA EXAMINER	1 29 May 57							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment to claims 1 and 20 adds a new step to the method, changing the scope of the claim and requiring new search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based on the claims as amended, and the amendment has not been entered.

Al 29 May 07